# **EXHIBIT** A

# Case 1:13-cv-00261-IMK-JSK Document 1-1 Filed 12/16/13 Page 2 of 15 PageID #: 9

## Request for Legal Process to be Served by the West Virginia Secretary of State

	ited below. The fee of \$20 per defendant required by W. Va. Code §59-1-2 is attached (\$30 if defendant is ll applicable:
☐ §21A-5-17c	Service on non-resident employer & others liable for unemployment compensation payments
□ §29-19-14	Service on professional fund raising counsel, nonresident charitable organizations or professional solicitors soliciting contributions from people in this State
\$31D-5-504 & \$56-3-13	Service on authorized domestic corporation
x §31D-15-1510	Service on authorized foreign corporation
□ \$31D-15-1510	Service on unauthorized foreign corporation
\$31B-1-111 & \$31B-9-906	Service on authorized domestic or foreign limited liability company
	Service on licensed insurer
\$33-4-13 & \$33-2-22	Service on unlicensed or unauthorized foreign insurer
□ §38-1A-7 & §38-1A-8	Service on non-resident trustee of a security trust
☐ §46A-2-137	Service on certain nonresidents under WV Consumer Credit and Protection Act
<b></b> §47-9-4	Service on authorized domestic or foreign limited partnership formed under §47-9, or limited liability partnership (LLP) formed under §47B-10 (see also §56-3-13a, §47B-9-6)
§47-9-4	Service on unauthorized foreign limited partnership or LLP
§56-3-31	Service on nonresident motor vehicle operator involved in an accident in this state, or on his/her insurer
☐ §56-3-33(a)	Service on individual under the long-arm statute because the individual is:
	(1) Transacting business in this state
	(2) Contracting to supply services or things in this State
	(3) Causing tortious injury in this state by act or omission (see §56-3-33(4) for description of causing tortious injury)
	(4) Non-support of minor children (pursuant to Lozinski v. Lozinski)
	(5) Causing injury in this State by breach of warranty
	(6) Having interest in, using or possessing real property in this State
	(7) Contracting to insure person, property or risk located in WV at the time of contracting
∑ §38-5A-5	Service of suggestee execution as provided for in §38-5A

# CIVIL CASE INFORMATION STATEMENT CIVIL CASES

(Other than Domestic Relations)

In the Circuit Court, HARRISON		County, West Virginia
I. CASE STYLE:	<i>e</i>	
Plaintiff(s)	Case #	d .
GILBERT, Byron & Elaine	Judge:	
Rt. 1, Box 287B		
Grafton, WV 26354		
vs.	e saare se	
Defendant(s)	Days to <u>Answer</u>	Type of Service
Street		<u> </u>
City, State, Zip		
ADVANCE STORES COMPANY, INC.		
c/o National Registered Agents, Inc.		
5400 D Big Tyler Rd. Charleston WV 25313	20	Certified Mail
City, State, Zip	,	
Street		
City, State, Zip		
Street		
City, State, Zip		
Original and $\frac{2}{2}$ copies of complaint er	nclosed/attached	l.
SCA-C100.02 / 1 of 2		

PLAINTIFF: EDEFENDANT:	Byron & Elaine Gilbert Advance Stores Company, Inc.			CASE NUMBER:	
II. TYPE OF CAS	SE:				
☑ Genera	al Civil		Adoption		
	Environmental Industrial Hearing Loss		Administrative Agen	cy Appeal	
			Civil Appeal from Ma	agistrate Court	
⊟ ca			Miscellaneous Civil	Petition	
☐ Er			Mental Hygiene		
☐ Si			Guardianship		
			Medical Malpractice		
☐ Habeas	s Corpus/Other Extraordinary Writ				
☐ Other:					
III. JURY DEMAND:					
Firm: Klie La Address: <u>85 W</u> Telephone: <u>30</u>	Christina Joann Rumbach w Offices, PLLC // Main Street 4-472-5007 mber 6, 2013			Representing:  Plaintiff Defendant Cross-Complainant Cross-Defendant Signature	
Proceeding V	Vithout an Attorney			$\circ$	

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HARRISON COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

BYRON GILBERT, and ELAINE GILBERT,

PLAINTIFFS,

VS.

**CIVIL ACTION NO.:** 

ADVANCE STORES COMPANY, INC.,

DEFENDANT.

#### COMPLAINT

NOW COME the Plaintiffs, Byron Gilbert and Elaine Gilbert, and for their cause of action against the defendant, state and allege, as follows to-wit:

#### **PREFACE**

- (1) Plaintiff, Byron Gilbert, (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff Gilbert") is an adult individual and a resident and citizen of Taylor County, West Virginia.
- (2) Plaintiff, Elaine Gilbert is an adult individual, wife of Plaintiff Byron Gilbert, and a resident and citizen of Taylor County, West Virginia.
- (3) Based upon information and belief, Defendant, Advance Stores Company, Incorporated (hereinafter referred to as Defendant "Advance Auto") is organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia and is a corporation existing within the Commonwealth of Virginia; Defendant Advance Auto actively, systematically conducts, contracts, and transacts business in West Virginia by operating auto parts stores throughout and receiving the benefits of conducting business within the State of West Virginia and Preston County, West Virginia; Defendant Advance Auto actively, systematically conducts, contracts and transacts business in West Virginia by owning and operating auto parts store

7180 located at 1025 W. Main Street, Bridgeport, WV 26330, Harrison County, West Virginia, thereby purposefully availing themselves of the privileges and benefits of conducting business within the State of West Virginia; Defendant Advance Auto is registered to conduct, contract and transact business within the State of West Virginia. Defendant Advance Auto's principal office address is 5008 Airport Road, Roanoke, VA 24012; and Defendant Advance Auto's notice of process address is National Registered Agents, Inc., 5400 D. Big Tyler Road, Charleston, WV 25313.

- (4) Defendant Advance Auto hired Plaintiff Gilbert as a store Manager on or about October, 1992, to work in the Kanawha City store located at Charleston, West Virginia.
- (5) In or about June of 1994, Plaintiff Gilbert was promoted to District Manager with responsibility for opening new stores for the company in the northern part of West Virginia, southwestern Pennsylvania and Oakland, OH, approximately twenty-nine (29) stores.
- (6) As the company grew through the efforts of Plaintiff Gilbert, there came a time when the Pittsburgh region was divided and various regions were created.
- (7) Upon information and belief, Plaintiff Gilbert assumed responsibility as District Manager of fifteen stores comprising Region 52.
- (8) On or about May of 2011, Plaintiff Gilbert was transferred to Region 36, with the same responsibilities as he had undertaken in Region 52.

- (9) At no time did either of his supervisors, discuss any concerns about Plaintiff Gilbert's staffing, sales, profits or payroll/overtime.
- (10) At all times relevant herein and for a period of over nineteen (19) years, Plaintiff Gilbert performed all his job duties in a satisfactory and/or above average manner. Plaintiff Gilbert was awarded numerous achievement awards for profitability, sales and leadership.
- (11) On or about November 8, 2011, Plaintiff Gilbert was informed that his immediate supervisor and human resources manager were touring some of the stores in his district. At no time was he asked to join them on the tour as would be their usual practice. Plaintiff Gilbert was, however, asked to meet with his direct supervisor, Mr. Clegg, on November 9, 2011.
- (12) On November 9, 2011, without any warning or indication of unsatisfactory performance, Plaintiff Gilbert met with his direct supervisor, Mr. Clegg, at a public restaurant in Harrison County, West Virginia, where he was placed on a performance improvement plan which would start immediately. Plaintiff Gilbert had already requested and been approved for a prepaid family vacation and was assured there was no need to cancel his trip.
- (13) On December 5, 2011, at a meeting requested and initiated by Plaintiff Gilbert to "brain-storm" ideas in furtherance of promotion of and correction of any concerns they may have had with his district's stores, Mr. Clegg reiterated the performance improvement plan, brought up issues such as service leadership assessments that were not part of the PIP, berating and downgrading Plaintiff Gilbert all in front of other Regional Staff.

- (14) On or about December 16, 2011, while meeting with his Customer Account Managers to discuss Customer Traction scores released that same day, Plaintiff Gilbert received a telephone call from Mr. Clegg who suggested it would be in Plaintiff Gilbert's best interests and that of the company if he would resign.
- (15) At no time did Mr. Clegg attempt to meet with Plaintiff Gilbert in person to discuss any concerns on his performance before or after the "Performance Improvement Plan" was administered with the exception of the meeting Plaintiff Gilbert initiated and arranged on December 5, 2011.
- (16) Plaintiff Gilbert filed a complaint with the Human Rights Commission regarding the allegations herein which was transferred to the EEOC on September 13, 2013, and is ongoing.

#### Count 1 – AGE DISCRIMINATION

- (17) Plaintiffs hereby re-allege each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs One (1) through Sixteen (16) as if fully rewritten herein.
- (18) Plaintiff Gilbert was at all times relevant herein a member of a protected class of individuals as he was over the age of forty. Based upon information and belief Plaintiff Gilbert maintains and therefore avers that his constructive discharge and/or crucial aspects of his employment were motivated in whole or in part by his age classification.
- (19) Plaintiff Gilbert alleges that Defendant and/or other representatives, employees and/or agents of Defendants engaged in various severe and hostile actions against him which were based at least in part on his age.

- (20) Upon information and belief, one Central Account Manager, Ron Batson, introduced Plaintiff to several commercial accounts as "bringing my Grandfather to work with me today". In addition, a fellow division leader, Jason Hand, pointed out Plaintiff Gilbert in front of all Region 36 General Managers, the Regional HR Manager and Vice President, commenting on the amount of his accrued vacation due to his number of years of service which caused undue embarrassment as the entire room turned around to stare at him.
- (21) Such behavior was unwelcome by Plaintiff Gilbert in the work environment and rendered the workplace and working conditions hostile and unbearable for Plaintiff. In fact, Plaintiff Gilbert was replaced with a much younger store manager with limited experience.
- (22) The aforesaid age discrimination was inflicted upon Plaintiff Gilbert in direct violation of the West Virginia Human Rights Act, W.Va. Code § 5-11-1, et seg.
- (23) As a direct and proximate result of the illegal, wrongful, reckless, willful, intentional, negligent, and tortious discrimination and constructive discharge of Plaintiff by Defendant, Plaintiffs have suffered injuries, damages and losses as hereinafter alleged.

#### **Count II – TORT OF OUTRAGE**

(24) Plaintiffs hereby re-allege each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs One (1) through Twenty-three (23) of this Complaint as if fully rewritten herein.

- (25) The wrongful employment acts and/or omissions taken against Plaintiff Gilbert by Defendant Advance Auto were done in an outrageous manner and were so extreme as to be intolerable in a civilized society.
- (26) As a result of the outrageous, wrongful actions aforesaid, Plaintiff Gilbert suffered injuries, damages and losses as hereinafter set forth.

# Count III - VIOLATIONS OF EMPLOYEE HANDBOOK AND/OR MANUAL

- (27) Plaintiffs hereby re-allege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs One (1) through Twenty-six (26) of this Complaint as if fully rewritten herein.
- (28) Based upon information and belief during the time that Plaintiff Gilbert worked for and supervised other Managers of Defendant Advance Auto, there were various manuals or employee handbooks which provided for certain procedures, policies, and/or grievance procedures. These procedures, policies and/or grievance procedures were reiterated during orientations/meetings.
- (29) Plaintiff Gilbert relied on the representation of manuals and/or employee handbooks, and Defendant's employees, representatives and agents failed to adhere to the procedure or policies provided for in said manuals or employee handbooks, and in so doing breached an implied and/or express contract with the Plaintiff.
- (30) As a direct and proximate result of the wrongful, intentional, and malicious breach of said manual and/or employee handbook provisions, Plaintiffs have incurred damages injuries and losses as hereinafter set forth.

#### **Count IV – CONSTRUCTIVE DISCHARGE**

- (31) Plaintiffs hereby re-allege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs One (1) through Thirty (30) of this Complaint as if fully rewritten herein.
- (32) At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff Gilbert maintains and therefore avers that his termination and/or crucial aspects of his employment were motivated in whole or in part by his age classification.
- (33) Plaintiff Gilbert alleges that Defendant Advance Auto replaced him with a younger less experienced manager and that had been their original intent in placing Defendant Gilbert on his first performance improvement plan in his nineteen (19) years of dedicated service to the Company. In addition, Plaintiff Gilbert was advised during the performance improvement plan period that he was the only District Manager that had not been put in for a stock option bonus due to his lack of performance.
- (34) Based upon information and belief Defendant Advance Auto had actual and/or constructive knowledge of such treatment and failed to have policies and procedures in place to prevent the same and/or failed to enforce said policies and procedures to the extent the same did exist.
- (35) Plaintiff could not endure such treatment, which rendered his work environment hostile and he had no option other than to end his employment.
  - (36) Plaintiff Gilbert did not commit any separate dischargeable offense.

(37) As a direct and proximate result of the outrageous and wrongful actions aforesaid, Plaintiff Gilbert has suffered damages, injuries and losses as hereinafter set forth.

#### Count V - DAMAGES

- (38) Plaintiffs hereby re-allege each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs One (1) through Thirty-seven (37) of this Complaint as if fully rewritten herein.
- (39) As a direct and proximate result of the wrongful acts and/or omissions of Defendant Advance Auto as hereinabove described, Plaintiff Gilbert has suffered the following injuries, damages and losses, including but not limited to: past lost wages, loss of company vehicle, loss of past benefits and stock options, annoyance, inconvenience, humiliation, financial distress, loss of opportunity, embarrassment, fear, emotional distress, attorney fees and other litigation costs.
- (40) All of the acts of Defendant Advance Auto and its' agents, servants, and employees, as alleged in each count of this Complaint were willful, wanton, and malicious and/or reckless and/or in reckless disregard for the rights of Plaintiff Gilbert and as such the Defendant Advance Auto should be required to respond in punitive damages.
- (41) That as a result of the wrongful and negligent acts of the Defendant, Plaintiff, Elaine Gilbert, was caused to suffer, and will continue to suffer in the future, loss of consortium, loss of society, affection, assistance, and

conjugal fellowship, all to the detriment of their marital relationship. That all the aforesaid injuries and damages were caused solely and proximately by the negligence and herein acts of the Defendant.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs Byron Gilbert and Elaine Gilbert demand judgment against Defendant Advance Auto in an amount that would adequately compensate Plaintiffs for the unlawful acts and/or omissions and violations of law by Defendant Advance Auto, plus whatever other relief the Court or Jury would deem just; punish and deter Defendants from committing this type of conduct in the State of West Virginia in the future, and by setting an example, deter others from committing this type of conduct in the State of West Virginia in the future and in such amount as will satisfy all other reasons of law and public policy for an award of punitive damages; and Plaintiff further prays for an award of attorneys fees, costs, interest, and for such other relief as the Court or jury deems just; Further, Plaintiffs demand an amount in excess of Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000.00).

#### <u>PLAINTIFF ENDORSES A JURY DEMAND HEREON</u>.

BYRON GILBERT, and ELAINE GILBERT,

By Counsel,

Erika Klie Kolenich, Esq. (9880) Christina J. Rumbach, Esq. (10550) Klie Law Offices, PLLC 85 West Main Street Buckhannon, WV 26201 304-472-5007 Facsimile: 304-472-1126

ehklie@klielawoffices.com crumbach@klielawoffices.com

9

## **VERIFICATION**

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA;
COUNTY OF; TO-WIT:
I, Byron Gilbert, the Plaintiff named in the foregoing COMPLAINT afte being first duly sworn, do hereby swear that the facts and allegations therein contained ate true, except insofar as they are therein stated to be upor information and belief, I believe them to be true.
Byron Gilbert
Taken, subscribed, and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, by Byron Gilbert, on this the _5th day of _November, 2013.
OFFICIAL SEAL Notary Public, State of West Virginia TAMMY M COCHRAN Route 1 Box 402 1 Grafton, WV 26354 My commission expires March 8, 2023

My Commission Expires: MMM 8, 2033

# **VERIFICATION**

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA;					
COUNTY OF; TO-WIT:					
I, Elaine Gilbert, the Plaintiff named in the foregoing COMPLAINT after being first duly sworn, do hereby swear that the facts and allegations therein contained ate true, except insofar as they are therein stated to be upon information and belief, I believe them to be true.					
Elaine Gilbert Jubert					
Taken, subscribed, and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, by Elaine Gilbert, on this the, 2013.					
OFFICIAL SEAL Notary Public. State of West Virginia TAMMY M COCHRAN Route 1 Box 402 1 Grafton. WV 26354 My commission expires March 8, 2023					

My Commission Expires: Much 1, 2033